Appendix I: Scoring of Questionnaire for Primary Variables affecting all Five Income Security Innovations of Government of Saskatchewan, 1971-82

Primary Variable Measure	Score, Creation	Score, when
	of Innovations, GoS, 1971-82*	Innovations Abolished/Survived 1986-7**
1) Ideology (see Appendix II)	NA	NA
2) Politics		
(1) The NDP governments were strong majorities		
Strongly Disagree=1, Disagree=2, Neither disagree nor agree=3,		
Agree=4, Strongly Agree=5.		
(2) The Progressive Conservative governments were strong majorities.		
Strongly Disagree=1, Disagree=2, Neither disagree nor agree=3,		
Agree=4, Strongly Agree=5.		
(3) When there was a change of government, it was a major	1971	1982
ideological/political change.		
Strongly Disagree=1, Disagree=2, Neither disagree nor agree=3,		
Agree=4, Strongly Agree=5.		
(4) The government taking action was in office a long time (> 8 years).		
Strongly Disagree=1, Disagree=2, Neither disagree nor agree=3,		
Agree=4, Strongly Agree=5.		
(5) Both governments were in power a long time (>8 years)		
Strongly Disagree=1, Disagree=2, Neither disagree nor agree=3,		
Agree=4, Strongly Agree=5. (6) The voting of the citizenry was consistent across provincial and		
federal elections. Strongly Disagree=1, Disagree=2, Neither disagree		
nor agree=3, Agree=4, Strongly Agree=5.		
Total		
10111		
3) External Support, creating Legitimacy		
(7A) Sask was influential with the federal government at the time the		
innovations were created/abolished. Strongly Disagree=1, Disagree=2,		
Neither disagree nor agree=3, Agree=4, Strongly Agree=5. Note:		
minority federal Liberal government supported by the NDP in 1972 and		
short-lived minority Conservative government in 1979.		
Total		
4) The Economy. (See Appendix II.)		
The Economy. (See Appendix II.)		
5) Resources		
a. Financial Resource (See Appendix II.)		
b. Administrative Support (See Appendix II.)		
(7b) Administrative support was an important factor. Strongly		
Disagree=1, Disagree=2, Neither disagree nor agree=3, Agree=4,		
Strongly Agree=5.		
Total		
c. Was the innovation fully implemented? (See Appendix II)		

Primary Variable Measure	Score, Creation of Innovations, GoS, 1971-82*	Score, when Innovations Abolished/Survived 1986-7**
d. Employee Support (See Appendix II)		
6) Effects		
a. Was it efficacious?		
(8) The innovation was efficacious in achieving the program's/		
government's objectives. Strongly Disagree=1, Disagree=2, Neither		
disagree nor agree=3, Agree=4, Strongly Agree=5.		
(9) It augmented the incomes of the poor. Strongly Disagree=1,		
Disagree=2, Neither disagree nor agree=3, Agree=4, Strongly Agree=5.		
Total		
b. Did the innovations reduce poverty/did abolition reduce poverty?		
(10) The incomes of the poor increased. Strongly Disagree=1,		
Disagree=2, Neither disagree nor agree=3, Agree=4, Strongly Agree=5.		
(11) The incomes of the poor increased substantially. Strongly		
Disagree=1, Disagree=2, Neither disagree nor agree=3, Agree=4,		
Strongly Agree=5.		
(12) The poverty rate declined in the short term. Strongly Disagree=1,		
Disagree=2, Neither disagree nor agree=3, Agree=4, Strongly Agree=5.		
(13) The innovations reduced the poverty rate in the medium term.		
Strongly Disagree=1, Disagree=2, Neither disagree nor agree=3,		
Agree=4, Strongly Agree=5.		
(14) The unemployment rate decreased in the medium term. Strongly		
Disagree=1, Disagree=2, Neither disagree nor agree=3, Agree=4,		
Strongly Agree=5.		
(15) There was an effort to redistribute income through taxes. Strongly		
Disagree=1, Disagree=2, Neither disagree nor agree=3, Agree=4, Strongly Agree=5.		
(16) The innovations created greater respect for the poor? Strongly		
Disagree=1, Disagree=2, Neither disagree nor agree=3, Agree=4,		
Strongly Agree=5.		
(17) The innovations respected the public's desire not to see the system		
cheated? Strongly Disagree=1, Disagree=2, Neither disagree nor		
agree=3, Agree=4, Strongly Agree=5.		
Total		
a Did the imposations fulfill their Coals?		
c. Did the innovations fulfill their Goals?		
(18) The broader public good supported the goals. Strongly		
Disagree=1, Disagree=2, Neither disagree nor agree=3, Agree=4,		
Strongly Agree=5.		
(19) Introduction/abolition of the innovations paralleled improvements in key monitoring measures? Strongly Disagree=1, Disagree=2, Neither		
disagree nor agree=3, Agree=4, Strongly Agree=5.		
(20) The innovations achieved their objectives. Strongly Disagree=1,	1	
Disagree=2, Neither disagree nor agree=3, Agree=4, Strongly Agree=5.		
(21) Their goals and objectives were achieved quickly (3-4 years)?		
Strongly Disagree=1, Disagree=2, Neither disagree nor agree=3,		
Subligity Disagree—1, Disagree—2, Neither disagree not agree=3,		1

Primary Variable Measure	Score, Creation of Innovations, GoS, 1971-82*	Score, when Innovations Abolished/Survived 1986-7**
Agree=4, Strongly Agree=5.		
(22) The changes were third order (changes in goals). Strongly		
Disagree=1, Disagree=2, Neither disagree nor agree=3, Agree=4,		
Strongly Agree=5.		
(23) The achievements were maintained. Strongly Disagree=1,		
Disagree=2, Neither disagree nor agree=3, Agree=4, Strongly Agree=5.		
(24) The innovations enhanced equity. Strongly Disagree=1,		
Disagree=2, Neither disagree nor agree=3, Agree=4, Strongly		
Agree=5.1		
(25) The innovations enhanced equality. Equality is about reducing the		
spread of incomes. Strongly Disagree=1, Disagree=2, Neither disagree		
nor agree=3, Agree=4, Strongly Agree=5.		
(26) The innovations had a substantial effect on equality. Strongly		
Disagree=1, Disagree=2, Neither disagree nor agree=3, Agree=4,		
Strongly Agree=5.		
Total fulfill goals		
d. Were the innovations respectful of clients?		
(27) Eligibility was determined quickly, easily, unobtrusively. Strongly		
Disagree=1, Disagree=2, Neither disagree nor agree=3, Agree=4,		
Strongly Agree=5.		
(28) The innovations removed the stigma of the undeserving poor,		
Strongly Disagree=1, Disagree=2, Neither disagree nor agree=3,		
Agree=4, Strongly Agree=5.		
Total Respect		
Total Effects		

Source: Eleanor D. Glor

References:

Andrews, Rhys, George A. Boyne & Richard M. Walker. 2011. Dimensions of Publicness and Organizational Performance: A Review of the Evidence. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 21 (Supplement 3): i301–i319.

_

^{*} NDP government ** Year of Conservative government cut-backs

¹ Equity is the respecting of rights such as the right of all applicants to be informed of benefits, to receive service quickly and in a respectful manner and the receipt of subsidies/services if entitled (Andrews, Boyne and Walker, 2011).